

From: BEACH Anthony < Anthony.BEACH@odav.oregon.gov>

Sent on: Monday, September 23, 2024 11:08:25 PM

To: Samantha Peterson «SPeterson@CenturyWest.com»; Brandy Steffen «brandy.steffen@jla.us.com»

Subject: FW: Meeting last week

Attachments: FW Scope request - Runway Justification Constrained Operations Report.eml (1.35 MB), Potential for

MOS related to runway extensions at UAO.eml (99.57 KB), Mod to Stnd Form (ROFA).doc (60 KB), UAO

Mod to Standards.pdf (1.82 MB), UAO 2-13-2023 - LOI Closeout Letter.pdf (249.4 KB)

FYI, please include this response in our record.

Thank you,

Tony Beach

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION

STATE AIRPORTS MANAGER

OFFICE 503-378-2523 CELL 503-302-5455

M-F 7:30am - 4pm

From: BEACH Anthony

Sent: Monday, September 23, 2024 4:08 PM

To: SUGAHARA Kenji < Kenji.SUGAHARA@odav.oregon.gov>; THOMAS Alex R < Alex.R.THOMAS@odav.oregon.gov>; Wendie Kellington < wk@klgpc.com>; Posegate Stacy C < Stacy.C.Posegate@doj.oregon.gov>; Samantha Peterson < speterson@centurywest.com>

Subject: RE: Meeting last week

Hi Wendie,

Following up on this discussion, please see the attached documents pertaining to Modification of Standards. The Word document is dated 2012 in our system, the PDF Mod form is from 2018. I don't have any email records for these forms specifically, but the 2023 LOI closeout letter confirms the FAA has not granted a MOD for the ROFA at UAO.

Thank you,

Tony Beach

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION

STATE AIRPORTS MANAGER

OFFICE 503-378-2523 CELL 503-302-5455

M-F 7:30am - 4pm

From: SUGAHARA Kenji < Kenji.SUGAHARA@odav.oregon.gov >

Sent: Monday, September 23, 2024 7:45 AM

To: BEACH Anthony anthony.BEACH@odav.oregon.gov; THOMAS Alex R Alex.R.THOMAS@odav.oregon.gov; Wendie Kellington wk@klgpc.com; Posegate Stacy C Stacy.C.Posegate@doj.oregon.gov; Samantha Peterson <a href="mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-no-new-mailto:specification-ne

Subject: Meeting last week

Wendie!

Thanks for reaching out to ODAV to talk about the comments that you provided on behalf Ted Millar, TLM and AABC concerning the refined alternatives presented to the PAC on July 30, 2024. I also appreciated the opportunity to speak directly with the communities that are and will be affected by ODAV's planning efforts for UAO. It's always important to listen to stakeholders about their concerns and their wishes. I hope our conversation was helpful in understanding ODAV's role in this planning phase and in particular our hope to select an alternative that FAA will support and ultimately agree to extend federal funds to help implement. Like I mentioned in my response to your request for a meeting, I'll be ensuring that all ODAV's communications with its stakeholders are made part of the record. This this email is intended to provide a high-level summary of our discussion today in which you, Anthony Beach, Alex Thomas , Stacy Posegate of DOJ and I attended by teams.

As I explained in our meeting, Chuck Garrison, Director of the Northwest Mountain Region for FAA, has made clear to ODAV that FAA will not fund future projects if the Aurora Airport master plan does not include an ALP that will bring components of the airport up to FAA's standards of compliance. The primary areas of concern, as we have discussed, are the ROFA which extends over and above the Hubbard Highway, OR 551, and the drainfield within the Runway Safety Area supporting your clients' businesses.

I understand that you are asking ODAV to consider another alternative airport layout plan, which you describe in more detail in your letter. You have explained that this alternative should be preferable because it would not require additional real property or moving OR 551. You have also stated that the current alternatives are unrealistic or unnecessary because they seek to obtain "perfect compliance" with FAA standards. But that, in your opinion, perfect compliance is not required. Rather, FAA has an obligation to consider any modifications requested by the ODAV. You also explained that your clients are extremely concerned with the investment that they have put into this airport and their relationship with ODAV. We take all input and comments seriously.