

## Re: Comments in advance of PAC Work Session today

ben.williams@liturgica.com <ben.williams@liturgica.com>

Tue 4/5/2022 2:12 PM

To: PECK Heather <heather.peck@odav.oregon.gov>

Cc: LUCAS Sarah <Sarah.LUCAS@odav.oregon.gov>; Brandy Steffen <brandy.steffen@jla.us.com>; benjamin.j.mello@faa.gov <benjamin.j.mello@faa.gov>

Heather;

Thank you for your email. Since today's PAC Working Session concerns Forecasts, I would like to submit two questions that I think it would be important for you or someone from Century West to address at the outset, given the complexity of the subject.

They are:

1. Why does the master plan not use historical tower data (ATCT) for particular types of aircraft when determining existing design aircraft for taxiway and runway separation and runway length?
2. Why does the master plan not use tower data to determine its forecast for particular types of aircraft?

The confusion arises from the use of TFMSC data which is based on flight plans, and in rather tables presented that data goes back to 2009 when there was no tower and thus flight operations were mainly estimates as opposed to hard data from the FAA ATADS database from 2017 to present.

Sincerely

Ben Williams  
Friends of French Prairie

On Apr 5, 2022, at 12:10 PM, PECK Heather <heather.peck@odav.oregon.gov> wrote:

Thank you again, for your comments and we will include them in the record, files and forward to the FAA.

For clarification however, while you are correct that the State Aviation Board did not approve the 2012 Master plan, the FAA did approve the methodology, the data as related to the forecast, the forecast and the final ALP, as also signed and dated by the FAA.

Kind Regards,  
Heather

# HEATHER PECK

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION

PLANNING & PROGRAMS MANAGER



EMAIL [heather.peck@aviation.state.or.us](mailto:heather.peck@aviation.state.or.us)

3040 25<sup>TH</sup> STREET SE, SALEM, OR 97302

[WWW.OREGON.GOV/AVIATION](http://WWW.OREGON.GOV/AVIATION)

\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

---

**From:** LUCAS Sarah <Sarah.LUCAS@odav.oregon.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42 AM  
**To:** ben.williams@liturgica.com <ben.williams@liturgica.com>; brandy.steffen@jla.us.com <brandy.steffen@jla.us.com>  
**Cc:** benjamin.j.mello@faa.gov <benjamin.j.mello@faa.gov>; PECK Heather <heather.peck@odav.oregon.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Comments in advance of PAC Work Session today

Ben,

Thank you for your comments. We have received and will include in the Working Session Meeting Summary document, which will be posted to the website and included as an appendix in the Master Plan.

See you this afternoon.

## Sarah Lucas, MPA

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION

Planner

OFFICE 503-378-2211 CELL 971-304-5467

EMAIL [sarah.lucas@odav.oregon.gov](mailto:sarah.lucas@odav.oregon.gov)

3040 25<sup>TH</sup> STREET SE, SALEM, OR 97302

[WWW.OREGON.GOV/AVIATION](http://WWW.OREGON.GOV/AVIATION)

---

**From:** ben.williams@liturgica.com <ben.williams@liturgica.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:34 AM  
**To:** LUCAS Sarah <Sarah.LUCAS@odav.oregon.gov>; brandy.steffen@jla.us.com  
**Cc:** benjamin.j.mello@faa.gov  
**Subject:** Comments in advance of PAC Work Session today

This message was sent from outside the organization. Treat attachments, links and requests with caution. Be conscious of the information you share if you respond.

Sarah and Brandy;

Please see attached comments from Friends of French Prairie in advance of the April 5 PAC Work Session.

Please confirm receipt and that they will be entered in the record.

Thank you

Ben Williams  
Friends of French Prairie